

Managing Change Through People: Transformative Role of HR in Organizational Transitions

Guye Teshite Gudeta

University of Eastern Africa Baraton, Kenya

Info Articles

Keywords:

*Human Resource Management, ,
Kotter's Model, ADKAR, Em-
ployee Engagement, Change
Leadership, Kenya*

Abstract

Characteristics of modern enterprises are being defined by organizational change as they are adapting to the new competitive pressures, technological advances, and shifting workforce expectations. Successful transformation is not only depending on strategies and systems but, more dependent on people. The explanation how HR this paper is based on the way Human Resource (HR) management can help organizations in change by people being focused on. HR's role in transformation is shown, supporting employees, and building a culture that embraces change. This is derived from Kotter's Eight-Step Change Model and the ADKAR Model of Individual Change, HR work is explained deeper in this paper such as training leaders, communication, support, engagement, and culture management that facilitate effective change implementation. In Kenya and the world's point of view, barriers are highlighted which hinder the effectiveness of HR, including resistance to change, weak strategic positioning, limited resources, and cultural rigidity. It is recommended that increased HR's strength of capacity, fostering learning cultures, improving communication systems, and embedding well-being and inclusivity at the core of organizational transformation.

*Address Correspondence:

E-mail: sguyte2521@ueab.ac.ke

p-ISSN 2550-0368

e-ISSN 2549-0303

INTRODUCTION

In today's High-speed and elaborate business landscape Organizational change has become constant. Innovations which are technological have to continuously be adapted by companies to competitive pressures, consistent customer need changes, and growing workforce expectations. Even if increasing or transforming, people's interaction is interfered, work, and create value. As a lot of organizations focus on strategy revision, it is still evident that the success or downfall of change initiatives primarily people dependent. Interpretation of employees, their enactment, and sustain change, making engagement of human the start of any transformation effort (Burnes, 2017).

HR professionals in this context from administrative specialists have grown to strategic partners from whom adaptation leaning is being facilitated. The work of HR is more than managing personnel logistics; it involves shaping communication, building trust, and developing competencies and it helps in moving through some challenges. By targeted training, transparent communication, and culture-building initiatives, HR is an acting bridge like for leadership intent and employee experience. which is through guiding how people understand, accept, and implement new directions, (Ulrich et al., 2012). HR change abstract strategies into actionable and sustainable behaviors various forms including structural, strategic, and cultural transformations which are taken by Organizational change. Change which is strategic is direction and resource priorities corporate explained; reporting lines or job roles are structural changes modified; and cultural change reshapes the shared values and behaviors which guide daily interactions (Schein, 2010). Every type requires active involvement from HR to align people with the new organizational reality.in the development of economies like that of Kenya, Human Resource's function in change is even more critical due to factors like limited resources, regulatory instability, and diverse workforce demographics. inclusive change strategies that accept these realities while sustaining organizational performance and morale must be therefore HR professionals formulated.

It is found hard by most of the organizations in implementation transitions other than the many changes management frameworks existence. (Kotter, 1996) 70% of change initiatives fail as it is shown by research to achieve their goals due to problems like poor communication, weak leadership, and employee resistance. The statistics proves that rather than inactive change, the adoption people-oriented approach in managing change in an attempt of enabling employees become active participants is more significant as it is very crucial. This helps in determining if change is embraced or resisted or whether an organization encounter success or fails through human capability to manage this very aspect.

Therefore, this study looks into the important role of HR in the process of guiding organizations through change while focusing on human resource management in regeneration and growth. For the durable change to be built, it does not only depend on stable strategies but also on empathy, trust and collaboration traits that HR aims to target. The components of HR such as the nature of organizational change, practicality nature which HR professionals are suppose to exhibit in order to make changes as well as key theories that creates the people-oriented change both locally here in Kenya and globally, are looked at in the following sections.

Statement of the Problem

Organizations have come to terms of reality that they must consistently cope up with emerging technological trends, absorb the pressure which comes with competition within technology space, and satisfy customers' demands in order to stay relevant especially in the current dynamic entrepreneurial environment where organizational change is no longer an option but a necessity. Nevertheless, despite all these accumulated numbers of transformation programs, a lot of organizations persistently encounter failure everyday at an alarming rate. This has been proven by statistical findings that about 60 to 70% of transformation attempts either move at a low pace r stagnate and then stops definitely which ends up failing to

accomplish the pre-defined goals (Kotter, 1996). The contribution of this often does not rely on the design of change strategies but on the way people respond to changes as employees might quit the process of change, fail to understand change resulting into low motivation, decrease in work performance and even organizational stability in general.

This unavoidable gap between human experience and strategic plan can be solved by the HR professionals through managing training, communication, engagement, and culture which are very important and valuable in guiding employees through change. Yet, according to the nature of many organizations HR exhibit dormancy, limited or purely takes the role of administrative alone in the process of transformation. Rather than in the early stages of strategy formulation participation, The HR is coming in always during implementation only by which time employee concerns and cultural barriers have already taken root. This is a weakness which puts HR's ability to anticipate workforce challenges, align people-related policies with change objectives, and sustain transformation momentum (Ulrich et al., 2012).

Upon Kenyans, the effect is increased by issues such as resource scarcity, limited HR capacity, and organizational cultures that are often hierarchical and risk-averse. Many organizations in Kenya, both public and private, are affected by other problems such as inadequate communication systems, minimal investment in employee development, and limited access to HR analytics to guide decision-making. The HR's ability to lead inclusive, data-driven, and emotionally intelligent change interventions are hindered by these challenges.

There are many change management theories explaining the importance of people, the importance of Human resource is assumed especially in underdeveloped countries. This study therefore aims to address these gaps through exploration of how HR can take a more strategic, active, and transformative role in the process of providing organizational change. While assisting in the process of change, HR can build trust, motivate employee engagement and at the same time create adaptability in proving that change is not just the implementation but should also be accepted and preserved across organization.

Objectives of the Study

General Objective

The key objective of this paper is to examine the transformative role of Human Resource (HR) management in facilitating and sustaining organizational change through a people-centered approach.

Specific Objectives

This paper seeks to:

1. Understand how HR support leadership and communication during organizational transitions.
2. Examine what the HR does such as training, performance management, and employee engagement that contribute to effective change management.
3. Scrutinize the challenges that limit HR's strategic influence in managing organizational change.
4. Assessment of HR inclusivity, well-being, and cultural adaptability during change processes.
5. The strengthening of HR's strategic capacity to lead successful organizational transformations.

Research Questions

This framework is guided by the following research questions:

- 1) How does HR come in to support good leadership and communication in the organizational change?
- 2) Which are the practices of HR most effectively facilitating employee adaptation and engagement during transitions?
- 3) What are the main effects which limit the specific influence in the management of change in an organization?

- 4) Which are the ways in which HR can promote inclusivity, well-being, and cultural adaptability throughout the change process?
- 5) How can strategic capacity required to lead and sustain successful organizational change be obtained by HR?

Literature Review

Concept and Nature of Organizational Change

What is organizational change? It's the process by which organizations interfere with their structures, strategies, technologies, or cultures to respond to evolving internal and external conditions. Burnes (2017) is defining it as a planned or unplanned process of transformation aimed at improving performance, adapting to the environment, or ensuring long-term sustainability. In contemporary business environments featured by globalization, digitalization, and increasing competition, change is not an exception but a constant feature of organizational life. For the organizations to stay safe, they are supposed to constantly adapt to new technologies, shifting consumer preferences, and regulatory reforms.

According to Schein (2010), there are categories in which organizational change can be put into and these including the three primary forms: strategic, structural, and cultural change. Strategic change redefines the long-term direction and priorities of an organization, always to better competitiveness or respond to new market opportunities. The change in structure is embedded on the following; modified reporting lines, departmental roles, or hierarchical relationships, typically resulting from mergers, downsizing, or organizational redesign. However, Cultural change is the most complex of the three which seeks to reshape the values, beliefs, and behavioral norms that show how employees' reasoning and act. The form of change that is relying on humans as it challenges long-held assumptions and requires new methods of working together.

Kotter (1996) insists that there is depended urgency in organizational change which is successful ,formation of strong leadership coalitions, and communicating a compelling vision that motivates collective action. Without these elements, change initiatives often fail due to confusion, fear, or resistance among employees. Armenakis and Harris (2009) continued that for successful change it depends on how good HR and leaders prepare and support their employees throughout the process of change both emotionally and cognitively.

In Kenya, developing economies, such as unique problems face the organizational change. Kenyan organizations operate within volatile economic environments marked by inadequate capital, infrastructural issues, and regulatory uncertainties (Kamoche et al., 2004). these conditions fasten challenges which are human related in change, employees face both organizational uncertainty and social economic instability. Making management of change to have its main focus on communication, trust-building, and inclusive participation.

Scholars such as Ombati et al. (2010) and Brewster et al. (2016) say in Africa organizations effective change is culturally sensitive and the alignment of transformation effort with local values and the norms of the work place dependents. Hierarchical leadership styles, collective decision-making tendencies, and community-oriented work cultures influence how employees perceive and respond to organizational transitions. In regards to these factors, Human Resource professionals are capacitated to design people-centered approach that gives a mix of worldwide transformational management principles and local realities.

HR's Role in Leading Change

Unlike in the past when HR had only administrative role such as recruitment, compliance, and payroll, currently, human resource management is being considered in organizational change due to current business dynamic environment which needs HR to have the spirit of key driver in strategic planning, facilitator of the process of change, and critical partner when it comes to organizational transformation (Ulrich et al., 2012).

HR's involvement in change processes is no longer optional; it is central to ensuring that the human side of transition is appropriately managed.

According to Kotter (1996), when it is understood by employees the chances of organizational change succeeding become high, feel supported throughout the process, and trust the leadership guiding it. HR professionals are uniquely positioned to make this possible by bridging the gap between top management and employees. They how communications which are clear, leadership alignment of leadership, and system that are created for participation and engagement. This way, HR comes as a bridge in which strategic intentions into human actions are defined.

HR has a main role among others during change which is support of leadership. compelling vision, inspiring confidence and model desired behaviors withstanding leaders are required during change, inspire confidence, and model desired behaviors. Human Resource departments play a complex role in preparation of these leaders by offering coaching, feedback, and competency-based development programs. Ulrich and colleagues (2012) highlight that HR must assist leaders to become change champions who embody the values and direction of transformation. When leadership and Human Resource work together effectively, employees are more likely to remain committed and aligned with the organization's goals.

Communication change is also yet another function. Armenakis and Harris (2009) posits that the change in communication should be one which is transparent, frequent and two ways. For employees to have trust and assurance as far as communication is concerned, then HR professionalism must be reflected not only in its engagement in composing messages but also in its delivery of information so as the employees stand to gain trust since feedback channels are taken care by them which includes surveys, town halls, and digital forums which enables employees to air their issues and to promptly contribute their perspectives. The mitigation of rumors, eradication of fear, and development of sense of mutual purpose are the most common elements which require communication assistance.

HR also derive its value from important areas such as emotional and psychological assistance. It is quite often that employees usually develop stress, anxiety, and defiance when confronted with change (Schein, 2010). Therefore, it is the role of HR in such circumstance to be reliable by noticing and responding swiftly with a lot of compassion through various ways such as giving counselling services, programs related to health, and instituting stress management workshops. Significant change can be enhanced and felt in an increasing manner when employees are listened to and given support which can in turn brings about resilience and openness.

In consideration of equity and inclusivity, the service of HR is taken as the key aspect and as the leading role in the process of realizing transformation. It is very easy for marginalization of a given category of people to occur during the process of change especially when there is error is committed unknowingly while redefining the roles and this is the reason behind HR ensuring that mandates and practices are given upper hand when dealing with ethical standards, reverence to diversity, and provision of equal opportunities for each and everybody to participate and experience growth (Thomas & Ely, 1996). As a result, there will be promotion of trust and shared ownership of new initiatives.

Within the Kenya context, varied cultural and economic aspect in work force makes HR to be critical as well as at the center point of leadership in managing change. In many organizations the decision made by top management disregard those of the lower-level employees due to strong hierarchical cultures existing in the work place. To solve this tendency, HR is considered the neutralizing factor which brings the decisions of the lower-level employees on board to be considered by the top management as this will enhance participatory for all, collaboration and mutual respect regardless of the ranks. The substance of this can be seen when matching programs with the community to bring value in the Kenyan work place (Kamoche et al., 2004).

Furthermore, it has been irresistible for HR to widen its leadership role for an inclusion of digital capacity in development and in managing remote engagement since the emergence and rise of digital change and hybrid word frameworks. Not only do HR leaders manages tangible transactions but also gives guidance,

collaboration, maintaining engagement, and protecting the culture of organization across various groups of employees.

HR Practices That Support Change

Practices based on HR are the focal point of operation of any organization which influences the behavior of the employees, motivation and even improvement in the process of change by ensuring that employees have adequate preparation, involvement, and given support in the entire change process which when thoroughly matched with the goals of organization, they end up turning these changes from the origin of fear into improvement and learning opportunities (Noe et al., 2020).

Armstrong and Taylor (2020) posit that HR practices can be grouped into six key domains depending on how they facilitate successful changes, they include: communication, organizational culture management, training and development, employee's engagement, and reward and recognition. Though these domains contribute differently in terms of their roles, they still work together in making sure that employees cope up to realities.

There are most seen interventions of the HR during change and this include development and training. Organizational change require skill with the following features; new, mindset, and technologies. The department of HR equips employees with competencies needed to function effectively in the structure which is new and this is by designing capacity-building programs. Training reduces uncertainty by building confidence, while continuous learning opportunities promote adaptability and innovation (Noe et al., 2020). Banking sector of Kenya, is an example of institutions undergoing digital transitions have relied heavily on HR-led training to help employees in maneuvering mobile banking platforms and making customer care automatic (Ombati et al., 2010).

During change there is another important role played by management performance. When there is deviation in goals and what comes first, employees are expected to understand exactly how success looks like in the new context. Managers are assisted by HR in the explanation of indicators, provide constructive feedback, and ensure that evaluation systems are fair and transparent. Armstrong and Taylor (2020) posits that for individual contribution to go hand in hand with organizational objectives which shows accountability and clear path amidst uncertainty, then there must be a well-structures management performance.

Employees participation is another important exercise. Kahn (1990) is explaining the participation as the psychological condition in which employees can show themselves directly or physically, cognitively, and emotionally in their function works. Change does not want this engagement therefore it tends to bring fear, and a feeling of instability. HR combats disengagement by promoting open dialogue, participation in making decisions, and recognition of contributions. Regular communication forums such as staff meetings, suggestion platforms, and team-building events assist employees feel valued and involved in shaping the future of the organization.

Wanted behavior during transformation, are further stressed by recognition and reward systems. When employees that have adapted, collaborative, and creative are rewarded, they are more likely to embrace new ways of working (Lawler, 2003). The department of HR use financial incentives like bonuses and promotions and non-financial rewards such as public acknowledgment, career development, and flexible work options in the maintenance of morale and commitment in work

The change which is effective needs communication which is another important HR role. Kotter (1996) says that communication is supposed to be continuous, multidirectional, and transparent. HR must create messages explaining why change is necessary, its benefits, and the role each employee plays in the process. This leads to decreased resistance and builds collective ownership. Armenakis and Harris (2009) says in addition that communication should invite feedback, giving employees space to share their perceptions and suggestions. Organizations in Kenya, where communication protocols are observed, HR's effort to create open and inclusive dialogue channels can determine whether change efforts succeed or fail.

In conclusion, the organization's culture is more important compared to HR practices. Schein (2010) says that how people interpret and respond to change is shaped by the culture. Throughout recruitment the HR influences the culture onboarding, leadership development, and recognition systems that reinforce desired norms. For instance, when an organization is changing toward a culture of innovation, experimentation and risk-taking is encouraged by the HR by training and structure reward. Aligning cultural values with change objectives ensures that transformation becomes embedded in the organization's identity rather than imposed externally.

Lessons from Kenyan and Global Experiences

The change or transformation of organization is a global talk of reality but the variation which still persists manifest itself across areas depending on culture, economic as well as institutional context. Based on the tested reality, the accomplishment of change programs wholly depends on the way organizations are effectively engaging people strategically when it comes to matters HR intervention both in Kenya and globally.

Through technological advancement, government reforms, and worldwide competition, organizations in Kenya both public and private sectors have gone through a tremendous change. For instance, mobile banking and digitally enabled services have given a new definition of operations and customer engagement. According to Ombati et al. (2010), mass retraining programs and a well-coordinated work systems meant to arm employees with new digital trends, have been materialized by Kenya banks like Equity and KCB where HR has been the main instrument for the whole process dealing with communicating transformational goals effectively, managing employees fear in the process of dispensation of these new technologies.

Likewise, a lot of changes have occurred in Kenya public sector as a result of decentralization, performance contracting, and human resource rationalization. For the attainment of transparency and efficiency, there is a need for HR professionals in these programs to be at the forefront in leading critical transformation process concerning staff redeployment, capacity-building, and cultural orientation. However, barriers are still a thorn in the flesh among public institutions which are still confronted by rigid hierarchies, inadequate HR autonomy, and scares resources among other factors which limits HR's capability to act strategically (Kamoche et al., 2004). Because of this, there is variation in the outcome of change efforts attributed to leadership commitment, effectiveness in communication as well as inclusion of employees.

Another factor which opens up changes in Kenyan organization is the cultural factor. Vital elements such as respect for authority, collective value, and orientation of the community are the what the workforce are characterized with which can not only enhance loyalty and cohesion but can also affects the feedback in the process of change initiatives. Therefore, traditional hierarchy should be replaced with participatory approaches to encourage collaboration and shared ownership by HR professionals (Kamoche et al., 2004). The sensitivity of culture is as vital as acknowledges that they are not brought from external and enforced but internally welcomed in ensuring change process.

In the global view, there is also provision of valuable lessons. For instance, in Singapore, HR has played avital role in constantly reskilling workforce, encouraging continuous learning, and managing large-scale digital and structural changes. Government in collaboration with Singaporean HR professionals, have collectively achieved employee development, and change readiness across organizations through the aid of national framework establishment that integrate HR planning (Brewster et al., 2016). This approach has proved a systematically working support for HR transformation.

A case in Canada has also revealed that leverage of diverse culture has enabled organizations to realize inclusivity and diversity in the process of change facilitated by HR as Human Resource department has remained relevant and focused on transparence in terms of communication, well-being of employees, and participatory planning to solve resistance, and maintain engagement. Historical records have also been witnessed with South African organizations which addressed the historical inequities that comes with

inclusivity in the process of implementing change by HR professionals using leadership development and communication as integral features for transformation (Thomas & Ely, 1996).

Similarities and differences between Kenya and the global at large are shown in this context, where successful organizational change is determined by strategy or technology and not people. HR's efficiency is ability to create trust, foster engagement, and build adaptive capacity across all organizational levels dependent on. Therefore, in developing economies such as Kenya, constraints such as limited infrastructure, socio-economic disparities, and skill shortages must also be navigated by HR. These challenges make it better when there is creativity, collaboration, and the contextualization of global best practices to local realities.

However, what is learnt from both Kenya and abroad strongly suggests that HR's potential in change lies in its strategic positioning and human-centered. When Human Resource is integrated into leadership decision-making, empowered with resources, and guided by inclusivity, it can lead change that is sustainable, equitable, and aligned with organizational purpose.

Theoretical Framework

This study is anchored on Kotter's Eight-Step Change Model (1996) and the ADKAR Model (Hiatt, 2006), which explains that people should be at the center stage in the process of change in order to realize transformation of any organization.

Kotter's Eight-Step Model gives a well-spelt out process of change such as creating urgency, building guiding collaborations, empowering employees, communicating vision, and fusing new practices in culture, leadership, communication, and motivation which are indicated as important achievers of sustainable change. These outline procedures of the model are the key role played by HR in promoting leadership, reinforcing culture, and managing training in making sure that there is durable change.

ADKAR Model focuses on five key stages such as ability, knowledge, desire, awareness, and reinforcement which can only be materialized when employees embrace and internalized them. Consequently, HR can also have control of this process through skill development, making sure that there is reinforcement through engagement, promotion of awareness and recognition. In short, these models communicate the sense that for effective change to be seen in an organization, there must be alignment of organization system with individual engagement and HR serves as the bridge between the two in order to realize human centeredness and sustainability in the process of organizational change.

Conceptual Framework

Description of the relationship between Human Resource (HR) is done in this conceptual frame work where Practices and Roles (independent variables) and Effective Organizational Change (dependent variable) are also explained. The framework has its root in the point that the change of an organization when the HR manages strategically the human side of change through communication, leadership support, training, engagement, and cultural alignment.

METHODS

This study was anchored on descriptive research design to determine and interpret the existing literatures discussing the role of Human Resource management (HRM) in an attempt or realize organizational change. The selected design was fit for this study because it enabled the researcher to give clarification, summarize, and synthesize findings from the studies previously done without collecting primary data. Data were derived from secondary sources such as peer-reviewed journals, academic text books, and credible online databases like Google Scholars and JSTOR. Key references include works by Kotter (1996), Schein (2010), Ulrich et al. (2012), and Brewster et al. (2016).

The literatures collected were analyzed using thematic approach while focusing on HR related leadership, training, engagement, communication, and cultural alignment during organizational change. This analysis informed the conceptual framework in linking HR practices with effective organizational change. Ethical integrity was maintained through accurate citation, properly acknowledging the sources used by the researcher, and adherence to academic writing standards.

Summary of Key Findings

In the review literature the is available it is evident that for an organizational change to be successful, how people are managed and supported in the process of change is very important. Human Resource Management (HRM) become very important as they bridge the gap in strategic leadership intentions and employee realities. HR contributes to successful change by a lot of interrelated functions which put together strategic insight with human- centered approaches as it is evident in this study.

Fastly, communication and leadership are facilitated by HR ensuring the objectives of change are articulated, always communicated and understood perfectly. communication which is good minimizes uncertainties and also trust is built in the commitment among the employees. Secondly, HR's training and development which is enhanced helps to equip staff with the skills and knowledge required in adapting the new systems, technologies, and work processes. This continuous capacity building enhances competence and confidence, which are important for successful transformation implementation.

Engagement, dialogue, and inclusion are opportunities created by HR in strengthening employee's engagement. This is shown in this literature also. Engaged employees are can support change initiatives, help their peers in adjusting to new realities and also share ideas. Also, performance management and reward systems were identified as critical tools for aligning individual efforts with organizational change goals. By recognizing and rewarding adaptability, teamwork, and innovation, Human Resource promotes the behaviors which are change sustaining.

Finally, shaping and aligning organizational culture to reflect new values, attitudes, and practices is an important role played by HR. change becomes embedded in the everyday operations of the organization rather than remaining a temporary adjustment and it depends on the alignment of culture.

In summary, the findings shows that operation of HR is like a strategic partner who is actively supporting leadership, empowering employees, and promoting a culture of openness and learning of organizational change can become more effective, inclusive, and sustainable.

CONCLUSION

The study concludes that the change which is successful is human more of process than structural or strategic one. Organization adaptation ability innovation, and sustaining change how effectively its people are supported and guided through change mostly dependent. Human Resource Management (HRM) therefore connecting leadership vision with employee experience and ensuring that change is managed in a structured, inclusive, and empathetic manner is a transformative role by HR.

The literature review of this study reveals that involvement of Human Resource in change goes administrative management. It takes leadership development, communication management, engagement, training, and cultural alignment as its central focus when dealing with strategic planning and decision-making process. When this central focus, are implemented appropriately by HR, it enables it become livelier and gives organization resilience and adaptability. Conversely, when the role of HR is limited to only operational task, resistance to change, poor communication, and lack of commitment by employees becomes the norm of the day.

In the further conclusion of the study, within the context of Kenya, organizations operates under cultural constraints and limited resources and therefore the strategies which are brought about by HR are

really vital in this case in a way that it calls for HR professionals to lead people in strategies friendly to people which can in turn promote equity, inclusivity as well as continuous learning through fostering trust, sustaining motivation, building human capital capacity which at the end ensures that is not only executed but also internalized and owned by employees.

REFERENCES

- Armenakis, A. A., & Harris, S. G. (2009). Reflections: Our journey in organizational change research and practice. *Journal of Change Management*, 9(2), 127–142. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14697010902879079>
- Brewster, C., Chung, C., & Sparrow, P. (2016). *Globalizing human resource management* (2nd ed.). Routledge.
- Burnes, B. (2017). *Managing change* (7th ed.). Pearson Education.
- Hiatt, J. (2006). ADKAR: A model for change in business, government and our community. Prosci Research.
- Kamoche, K., Debrah, Y., Horwitz, F., & Muuka, G. (2004). Managing human resources in Africa: Emerging themes and future directions. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 15(7), 993–1010. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0958519042000230005>
- Kahn, W. A. (1990). Psychological conditions of personal engagement and disengagement at work. *Academy of Management Journal*, 33(4), 692–724. <https://doi.org/10.5465/256287>
- Kotter, J. P. (1996). *Leading change*. Harvard Business School Press.
- Lawler, E. E. (2003). *Rewarding excellence: Pay strategies for the new economy*. Jossey-Bass.
- Noe, R. A., Hollenbeck, J. R., Gerhart, B., & Wright, P. M. (2020). *Fundamentals of human resource management* (9th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education.
- Ombati, T. O., Magutu, P. O., Nyamwange, M., & Nyaoga, R. B. (2010). Technology and service quality in the Kenyan banking industry. *African Journal of Business & Management*, 1, 151–164.
- Schein, E. H. (2010). *Organizational culture and leadership* (4th ed.). Jossey-Bass.
- Thomas, D. A., & Ely, R. J. (1996). Making differences matter: A new paradigm for managing diversity. *Harvard Business Review*, 74(5), 79–90.
- Ulrich, D., Brockbank, W., Johnson, D., Sandholtz, K., & Younger, J. (2012). HR competencies: Mastery at the intersection of people and business. Society for Human Resource Management.